

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,093	04/10/2000	David S. Wehrle	00AB108	2591
7590 12/30/2003			EXAMINER	
John J Horn Allen Bradley Company Inc Patent Dept 704 P Floor 8 T 29 1201 South Second Street			BAROT, BHARAT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	-
Milwaukee, Wl	53204	•	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/546,093	WEHRLE ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Bharat N Barot	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of (1) a timely filed amend leal (with appeal fee); or	this application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
_	REPLY [check either a) o	or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acceptant, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date s than SIX MONTHS from the m S FILED WITHIN TWO MON date on which the petition under ension and the corresponding a ed statutory period for reply orig	ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/o	r search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appe	al by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding n	umber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submit	tted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request to application in condition for allowance because:		peen considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	
Claim(s) allowed: None.		
Claim(s) objected to: None.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	oproved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem		· ·
10. Other:	Æ	Shoot Barot
	B PRI	HARAT BAROT MARY EXAMINER (7°3)335-40

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/546,093

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments toward final rejection are not persuasive and final rejection stands.